

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/613,050   | 07/07/2003  | Hiroyoshi Tagi       | 56937-081           | 4706             |
| 7590 06/21/2006                                    |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY                            |             |                      | SEMENENKO, YURIY    |                  |
| 600 13th Street, N.W.<br>Washington, DC 20005-3096 |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| , ,  |             |                      | 2841                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |  |   | \               |
|---|--|--|---|-----------------|
|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | - <del>DO</del> |
|   |  | 10/613,050   | TAGI ET AL.   |                 |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  | -               |
|   |  | Yuriy Semenenko  | 2841  |                 |
| Period fo                                 | The MAILING DATE of this communication or<br>Preply  | appears on the cover sheet v   | vith the correspondence addi  | ess             |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any     | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING<br>nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per<br>re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta-<br>treply received by the Office later than three months after the ma-<br>ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>1.136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>siod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>atute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this companies (as N.S.C. § 133). |                 |
| Status                                    |  |  |   |                 |
| 2a)                                       | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow   | his action is non-final.   | tters prosecution as to the r   | merits is       |
| ٥,  | closed in accordance with the practice under   | •  | 7.1   |                 |
| Dispositi                                 | ion of Claims  | ,  |   |                 |
| 5) □<br>6) ⊠<br>7) □<br>8) □<br>Applicati | Claim(s) 1 and 4-24 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-24 is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the specification is and the specification to the specification of the specification of the specification to the speci | awn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑  the drawing(s) be held in abeya                                | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                 |
| 11)□                                      | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr<br>The oath or declaration is objected to by the   |  | = : :   | * *             |
| •   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |                 |
| 12)⊠<br>a)∣                               | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in<br>riority documents have bee<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No n received in this National S  | tage            |
| 2) Notic<br>3) Infor                      | et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ ter No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-1                           | 152)            |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Due to Interview summery filed 05/17/2006 the previous final Office Action is hereby vacated, and a new non-final Office Action have been issued in its place.

# Response to Amendment

2. Amendment filed on 1/19/2006 has been entered.

In response to the Office Action dated 10/19/ 2005, Applicants has amended claims 1, 4 and 5.

Claims 2 and 3 has been cancelled. Claims 6-24 had been withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 1 and 4-24 are now pending in the application.

## **Drawings**

3. The Drawings amendments, filed on 1/19/2006 are considered and is acknowledged. The Drawings amendments are approved. The objection to the Drawings have been withdrawn.

#### Claims

4. Claims 4 and 5 amendments, filed on 1/19/2006 are considered and is acknowledged. The claims amendments are approved. The objection to the claims have been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,050 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/19/2006 have been considered and acknowledged.

- 5.1. Applicant's arguments concern to electrical connection of the auxiliary lead are found persuasive .
- 5.2. Applicant's arguments concern to material for the electromagnetic shilding layer are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Jones does not disclose "the electromagnetic shielding layer is made of a magnetic material having magnetic loss. Joens discloses shielding layer. But APA discloses this limitation "the electromagnetic shielding layer 806" on page 2, lines 24-27 of the Specification. And more, Joens discloses shielding metallic layer (component) 24, Fig.2, which implicitly can be the electromagnetic shielding layer.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, applicant cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

# Specification and Drawings

6. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112. Examples of some unclear, Applicant states that Prior art discloses that a signal transmitting lead 805 in electrical contact with a ground lead 804 (Specification, page 2, lines 13-21). But in Fig. 7 clearly shown that this two leads are not in contact with each other. If a signal transmitting lead 805 would be in electrical contact with a ground lead 804 it can not transmitting the signal at all, because of electrical short of the signal transmitting lead.

Appropriate specification drawings correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,050

Art Unit: 2841

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7.1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Admitted by Applicant (Prior Art, hereinafter "APA") in view of Hayashi (Patent # 6359235) hereinafter "Hayashi" and in view of Jones (Patent #5227583) hereinafter "Jones" and in view of Lamson et al. (Patent #6563208) hereinafter "Lamson".

As to claim 1: APA discloses in Fig. 7 a printed wiring board 801 comprising: an insulating board 803 which includes a plurality of electrically insulating layers which are laminated; a signal transmitting lead 805 which is provided at an interlayer between the electrically insulating layers, Fig. 7; an auxiliary lead 804 which is provided on the insulating board; and an electromagnetic shielding layer 806 made of a magnetic

Application/Control Number: 10/613,050

Art Unit: 2841

material having magnetic loss (Specification, page 2, lines 24-27), wherein a signal is not carried to the auxiliary lead.

except, APA doesn't explicitly teach an electronic component which is built in the insulating board .

Hayashi teaches an electronic component which is built in the insulating board. Therefore, at time the invention was made, it was well know to use an electronic component which is built in the insulating board.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made for APA to include in his invention an electronic component which is built in the insulating board.

Benefit of doing so is to further miniaturization of the printed wiring board (PWB).

APA, also, fail to expressly disclose the shielding layer which covers at least a part of the auxiliary lead.

Jones teaches the shielding layer 28, Fig. 2, which covers at least a part of the auxiliary lead 26 (column 5, lines 54-57).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made for APA to include in his invention the electromagnetic shielding layer which covers at least a part of the auxiliary lead to reduce noise during transmitting high-frequency signal, which is common practice for HF technology. The prior art itself and in combinations with each other define the structure (APA, as modified, discloses the printed wiring board) is capable of performing the intended use (transmitting a high-frequency signal, as claimed claim 1), then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) AND In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

except, APA doesn't explicitly teach an auxiliary lead is not in electrical contact with the signal transmitting lead;

Lamson teaches in Fig. 4, an auxiliary lead 402 is not in electrical contact with the signal transmitting lead 401 (column 3, lines 52-63);

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made for APA to include in his invention an auxiliary lead is not in

Art Unit: 2841

electrical contact with the signal transmitting lead, as taught by Lamson, because Lamson teaches that such a configuration would result that impedance can be selected for individual leads (column 1, lines 57-59).

As to claim 4: Further, APA, as modified, discloses the printed wiring board having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect claim 1, and an electromagnetic shielding layer 806, Fig. 7,

except, APA, does not teach an insulating film is provided between the auxiliary lead and the electromagnetic shielding layer.

Jones teaches an insulating film 30, Fig. 2 is provided between the auxiliary lead 26 and the shielding layer 28 (column 5, lines 57-59). Therefore, at time the invention was made, it was well know to use an insulating film is provided between the auxiliary lead and the electromagnetic shielding layer.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made for APA to include in his invention an insulating film is provided between the auxiliary lead and the electromagnetic shielding layer.

Benefit of doing so is to provide better electromagnetic shield by separation of the two circuits.

As to claim 5: Further, APA, as modified, discloses the printed wiring board having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect claim 1,

except, APA, does not teach the signal transmitting lead has lead regions which are opposite to each other, and the auxiliary lead is provided between the opposite lead regions.

Jones teaches the signal transmitting lead 36, Fig. 1 has lead regions 38 which are opposite to each other, and the auxiliary lead 26 is provided between the opposite lead regions.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,050

Art Unit: 2841

Page 7

Therefore, at time the invention was made, it was well know to use the signal transmitting lead which has lead regions which are opposite to each other, and the auxiliary lead is provided between the opposite lead regions.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made for APA to include in his invention the signal transmitting lead has lead regions which are opposite to each other, and the auxiliary lead is provided between the opposite lead regions.

Benefit of doing so is to prevent a degradation of the transmitted signals.

- 8.1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuriy Semenenko whose telephone number is (571) 272-6106. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am 5:00pm.
- 8.2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571)- 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 8.3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YS

Kaneo Spe 2841